Course Evaluation Results

Responses range from January 2013 to March 2014.

The pace of the material presented in class has generally been:] Too Slow	2 Too Fast	35 Just Right
The quality of site visits and their relevance to class curriculum:	0]]	12	24
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Amount of student involvement was:	0]	3	20	14
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Reading materials (e.g., textbooks	0	0	2	16	20
& manual) for this course:	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Overall course administration and coordination was:	0	0	0	6	32
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Instructor's expertise of the subject area:	0	O	0	O	25
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Instructors overall teaching effectiveness:	0	0	0	6	32
	Poor	fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Guest speakers' expertise of the subject area:	0	O	3	22	13
	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
Guest speakers' improved my	0	0]	22	15
understanding of subject matter:	Never	Rarely	Neutral	Most of the Time	Yes, Always!
Course materials were clear and comprehensive:	O	0	2	13	23
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Readings and discussions helped me	O	0	0	19	19
understand any apply course concepts:	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
This course improved my understanding of the subject matter:	O	O	O	6	32
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Overall value of the course met my expectations:	O	O	O	6	26
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Would you recommend the SHP course to a fellow builder, remodeler, designer, or architect?	O	O	O	3	35
	Not at all	No	Maybe	Yes	Yes, without Doubt!